Evaluation of Helicobacter pylori stool antigen test in comparison with conventional methods in detection of helicobacter pylori infection in dyspepsia patients
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Abstract

Background: Helicobacter pylori colonize the human stomach and is associated with gastritis, gastric and duodenal ulcers, gastric adenocarcinoma and mucosa associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma. Owing to its momentous pathogenic role, the diagnosis of H pylori infection remains a subject of interest. Helicobacter can be detected by invasive and non invasive methods. Aim: The purpose of this study was to evaluate the accuracy of stool antigen test as a non invasive method for the diagnosis of H pylori infection. Materials and Method: A prospective study was conducted in a tertiary medical college hospital. Patients presenting with dyspeptic symptoms were subjected to endoscopy and investigated for H pylori infection through rapid urease test, histopathology and culture. Stool samples were also collected from these patients and tested for H pylori infection by a rapid HpH pylori Stool Antigen test. H pylori status was defined as positive when both rapid urease test (RUT) and histopathology were positive or culture alone was positive for biopsy based invasive methods. Similarly a positive rapid HpSA test also indicates H pylori infection. Results: Of the 100 patients tested, 63 were H pylori infected by the endoscopy based invasive methods, and 54 by the non-invasive rapid HpSA test. The sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of H pylori stool antigen test were 85.7%, 88% and 91% respectively. Conclusion: The rapid HpSA test could be used as a noninvasive diagnostic test for H pylori infection.
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Introduction

Helicobacter pylori is a microaerophilic gram negative, spiral shaped bacterium that colonizes the human stomach. Infection with Helicobacter pylori is associated with gastritis, gastric and duodenal ulcers, gastric adenocarcinoma and mucosa associated lymphoid tissue (MALT) lymphoma and it has been declared as a grade one carcinogen by the WHO [1].

The prevalence of H pylori infection is 70% - 90% in developing countries and 25%-50% in developed countries [2]. Person-to-person spread is the most probable mode of transmission. Faecal-oral and oral-oral transmissions have been reported [3]. Chronic H pylori infections of the stomach are increasingly recognized as a major risk factor for the development of gastroduodenal disease. Eradication of H pylori is known to alter the course of diseases caused by it and hence its rapid diagnosis is required [4]. Ascertainment of H pylori infection can be made by both invasive and non-invasive methods. Invasive methods require an endoscopy with biopsy specimen, since this allows the assessment of structural lesions and histopathology to rule out malignancy, therefore this procedure is widely practiced. Invasive methods include staining, culture, rapid urease test and PCR [5].

The non invasive tests include Urea breath test (UBT), serology, stool antigen test and stool PCR [2]. Staining and culture were first described by Warren and Marshall [6]. Staining is a rapid and inexpensive method while culture requires a great deal of expertise and time. Culture however has the advantage of yielding isolates for antibiotic sensitivity testing [5].
The rapid urease test reduces the time and expertise required to assess infection with H. pylori [4]. Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) has been recently included as an important molecular method in the diagnostic armamentarium of H. pylori infection [7]. Serological analysis has the advantage of being a representative sample for the whole stomach in contrast to biopsy which represents only a small area of the stomach and may miss the patchy distribution of H. pylori. However, the disadvantage is that it is not rapidly responsive to antimicrobial therapy and cannot be used to evaluate the effectiveness of therapy. Urea breath test though rapid, quantitative and rapidly responsive to treatment, involves expensive instrumentation and administration of radio isotopes [8]. Although histopathology and culture of the organism are considered the gold standard for the diagnosis of H. pylori infection, they require an invasive procedure like endoscopy which is not comfortable in all age groups, especially in children. Hence, we require an rapid, accurate and reliable noninvasive methods [9].

H. pylori antigen detection in stool is a simple rapid, non-invasive, easy to perform test that can be used to detect active infection, monitor effectiveness during therapy and to confirm cure after antibiotic use [10]. The ease to collect the specimen, especially in children, in whom endoscopy would be difficult and the non requirement of specially trained staff to collect and perform the test adds to the tests advantage. The sensitivity and specificity of this test, compared to the other detection methods of H. pylori infection have been confirmed and documented [11]. This study was undertaken to evaluate the diagnostic accuracy of the rapid stool antigen test in comparison with the RUT, culture, histopathology as the gold standard methods.

Materials and Methods

Patients: 100 patients of dyspepsia were recruited in the study after undergoing upper gastrointestinal tract endoscopy. The upper GI endoscopy suggested H. pylori infection in these recruited patients. Institutional ethical clearance was obtained for this study. Informed consent was obtained from all participants. Inclusion criteria: Clinically suspected cases of dyspepsia, patients not on proton pump inhibitors for last 2 weeks and antibiotics for the last 1 month. Exclusion criteria: Patients on anticoagulants and patients having bleeding disorders. Sample collection: Two antrum and two corpus biopsy specimens were taken from each patient using a biopsy forceps through a fiberoptic gastroduodenoscope. The first specimen was inoculated into urease broth for RUT, the second specimen collected in Stuarts transport medium for culture, the third specimen collected in 10% formalin for histopathology— Giemsa stain, the last specimen was used to make an imprint smear by placing in between 2 slides and pressing gently for grams staining.

Stool Antigen test: The recruited patients were asked to collect stool sample and submit the same day or the next day to the laboratory. H. pylori Ag was detected in stool sample by SD BIOLINE H. pylori Ag rapid test procedure. This rapid test is an immunochromatographic technique. Principle: The SD BIOLINE H. pylori Ag rapid test kit result window has 2 pre-coated lines, T (H. pylori Ag test line) and C (control line). The test line is coated with mouse monoclonal anti Helicobacter pylori and control line, with goat anti mouse Ig gold conjugate. Both the test line and the control line are not visible prior to the test procedure. The control line is used for procedural control and should always appear if the test procedure is performed correctly.

Procedure of the test

Extraction procedure: The assay diluent and the stool sample, about 50mg are mixed in the sample collection tube and left to settle for 5 min. Assemble the dropping cap on the sample collection tube for test procedure.

Test Procedure: Add 3 drops (about 100 micro lit) from the sample collection tube into the sample well (S) of the test device. As the test begins to work, you will see purple color move across the result window in the center of the test device. Interpret the test results at 10-15 minutes.

Interpretation of the test

Negative Result: The presence of only control band (C) within the result window indicates a negative result.

Positive Result: The presence of two colorbands, test band (T) and control band (C) within the result window.

Invalid Result: If the control band is not visible within the result window.

Statistical analysis: The sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV and accuracy of the H. pylori stool antigen test was calculated and compared with the conventional standard methods (RUT, histopathology and culture).
Of the 100 patients enrolled and tested 63 were diagnosed as positive and 37 negative for H.pylori infection by the biopsy based gold standard methods. Meanwhile, 59 were positive and 41 were negative by the rapid H.pylori stool antigen test (Table-1).

### Table-1: Gold Standard Tests V/s H.Pylori Stool Agent Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>H. Pylori Stool Antigen Test</th>
<th>Gold Standard Test</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By the gold standard tests considered (culture or RUT and histopathology positive) a total of 63 cases had H.pylori infection, 54 out of which were positive by H.pylori rapid stool antigen test, 9 cases could not be picked by the stool antigen test. This false negative result could be due to the intermittent shedding of the H.pylori in stool. The 5 false positive which were negative by the standard tests, culture or RUT and histopathology could be due to cross reaction with other species of Helicobacter which colonize the stomach.

### Table-2: Positivity of Different Laboratory Tests Employed to Detect H.Pylori in 100 cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laboratory Test</th>
<th>No. of positive for H. Pylori</th>
<th>Percentage of Positivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rapid urease test</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gram’s stained biopsy smear</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histology</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stool antigen test</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the table 2 it is obvious that rapid urease test was positive in highest number of cases i.e., 72% and culture was least positive i.e., 18% of cases, this is because of the fastidious nature of H.Pylori. 63% positivity rate detected by histopathological examination by Giemsa stain. 54% positive by grams staining of the imprint smear of the gastric biopsy.

### Table-3: Sensitivity of Stool Antigen Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>True Positive (TP)</th>
<th>True Negative (TN)</th>
<th>False Positive (FP)</th>
<th>False Negative (FN)</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>85.7%</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV and overall accuracy of the HpSA test were 85.7%, 88%, and 91.5%, 80.4% and 91% respectively (Table-2).

### Discussion

H.pylori colonizes the human stomach during childhood and survives in the human stomach, the only niche known to date, for the lifetime of the carrier [12]. In most of the individuals H.pylori infection may be asymptomatic. Around 20% to 30% of the infected individuals may develop peptic ulcer disease, and less than 2% gastric cancer [13]. Gastrointestinal endoscopy has been widely performed for gastrointestinal disorders and H.pylori infection diagnosis. Nonetheless, the Maastricht III consensus report recommended in primary care, a test and treat strategy using a non invasive test in adult patients with dyspepsia [14].

Invasive methods of diagnosis require endoscopy to be done, which is not suitable for primary care physicians. Hence, in the absence of endoscopic facilities the primary physicians require non-invasive methods to diagnose H.pylori infection [15]. The urea breath test, stool antigen tests and serological tests with a high accuracy are the non invasive tests which should be performed for the diagnosis of H.pylori infection [14]. Since there is evidence that infected individuals excrete H pylori in feces, an immunosassay (ELA, HpSA) has been developed that can detect H pylori antigen in human feces. Besides, Helicobacterpylori in feces could
be detected by PCR or even culture. Therefore it is non-
invasive; HpSA immunoassay would be particularly
important for patients for whom endoscopy is not
clinically indicated. The premier platinum HpSA test is
an enzyme immunoassay (EIA) that detects an H. pylori
antigen present in human stools [15]. For eradication
control, ideally after three months of treatment, the
breath test rapidly confirms the disappearance of
H. pylori, unlike the serological techniques, which need
a prolonged period of time to confirm the eradication
effect. Thus, because antibody titres can take up to six
months to fall after successful treatment, serological
tests cannot readily be used to assess the efficacy of
H. pylori eradication regimens shortly after treatment
[16].

Even though the radiation exposure from 14C-urea
breath test is less than 1% of that received from an
upper gastrointestinal series [17], and the test has 100%
specificity and sensitivity [18], the long half life (5.730
years) of 14C restricts its use due to the environmental
protection policy. That means 13C-urea breath test and
the stool antigen test are the only choices of non-
invasive methods for the H. pylori-infection eradication
control.

The present study was therefore planned for
comparative evaluation of conventional methods i.e.,
RUT, grams staining, histology and culture with stool
antigen test for diagnosis of H. pylori infection in cases
of dyspepsia. The H. pylori stool antigen test was
reported in the America Gastroenterology week in
1997. The HpSA test has been widely evaluated around
the world, with a weighted mean sensitivity and
specificity of 90-98%, respectively [19,20]. The stool
antigen test may be performed by conventional
immunoassay (EIA) and by rapid lateral flow
immunoassay.

We tested a rapid lateral flow immunoassay stool
antigen, as it is useful for small laboratories and it is
faster than the conventional EIA [20]. The results of the
HpSA test in comparison with the gold standard
methods, obtained a sensitivity of 85.7% and specificity
of 88% which was clinically significant for the
diagnosis of H. pylori infection. The results of this study
were similar to various other studies evaluating the
accuracy of H. pylori stool antigen test for diagnosing
H. pylori infection.

Li YH et al evaluated the clinical value of HpSA for
detecting H. pylori infection. They did a similar study in
53 patients who had undergone upper endoscopy due to
gastrointestinal symptoms. They evaluated the accuracy
of the stool antigen test, Immuno Card STAT HpSA,
against the gold standard (RUT & Warthin- Starry
staining or culture) for the diagnosis of H.
pylori infection. The sensitivity and specificity of the
Immuno Card STAT HpSA were 92.6% and 88.5%
respectively, with an accuracy of 90.6% [21]. The
results of this study co-related with our study.

Demiray E et al studied the comparison of invasive
methods and two different stool antigen tests, rapid
STRIPHpsA and one step simple. The sensitivity and
specificity of therapid STRIPHpSA was 60% and 86%,
where as in our study, the sensitivity and specificity was
85.7% and 88% respectively. The sensitivity of the
HpSA test was higher in our study. Their study
carried the conclusion that the rapid STRIPHpSA test could be used
as a routine diagnostic tool for H. pylori infection [2].

In another study Silva JMK et al validated the use of the
rapid lateral flow H. pylori stool antigen test as an
alternative to Urea Breath Test for diagnosis of H. pylori
infection in developing countries. The sensitivity and
specificity of the rapid stool antigen test was 88% and
87.5% respectively. The results of their study co-related
with the results of our study. Silva JMK et al considered
Urea Breath Test as the gold standard, non-invasive
technique for H. pylori infection diagnosis [16].

Syam et al evaluated the accuracy of HpSA for the
detection of H. pylori infection in dyspeptic patients.
The sensitivity and specificity of HpSA were 66.7% and
78.9% respectively. They concluded that HpSA stool
test may be useful for the primary diagnosis of H. pylori
infection in peptic ulcer patients [15]. Choi J et al
conducted a prospective study, where 515 patients were
enrolled in the study. They evaluated the efficacy of
new stool antigen test for the detection of H. pylori in
comparison with histology, RUT, UBT & serology.

Sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive
values, and accuracy of the stool antigen test were
93.1%, 94.6%, 95.1%, 92.3%, and 93.8%, respectively.
The sensitivity and specificity of the above study was
slightly higher when compared to our study (sensitivity-
85.7%, specificity-88%). They concluded that the
performance of a new stool antigen test was comparable
to that of other methods in the diagnosis of H. pylori
infection for the screening population [22]. Pourlak T et
al studied the role of HpSA test in the diagnosis
of Helicobacter Pylori infection in patients with upper
GI complaints undergoing diagnostic endoscopy.

RUT and histology were used as gold standard for
comparison of results. They observed that HpSA had
sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV and accuracy of
96.67%, 93.33%, 93.55%, 96.55% and 95%
respectively thus concluding the tests significant role as a diagnostic tool [23]. The results (sensitivity, specificity & PPV-96.67%, 93.33%, 93.55%) their study was significantly higher when compared to that of our study (sensitivity, specificity & PPV - 85.7%, 88%, 91.5%).

Saha R et al studied the application of the stool antigen test to evaluate the burden of Helicobacter pylori infection in dyspepsia patients. A quantitative ELISA for HP antigen was performed on the stool samples of patients undergoing upper GI endoscopy. Samples showing a concentration of HP antigen higher than 0.05 micro gram/ml were considered as positive.

The study concluded that HP stool antigen testing to be superior to upper GI endoscopy for detecting HP infection. They recommended initial testing for HP stool antigen before initiating treatment and before carrying out any invasive procedure such as endoscopy [24]. Erzin et al compared two different stool antigen tests for the primary diagnosis of H.pylori infection in patients with dyspepsia. They used Femto Lab H.pylori EIA and Premier Platinum, the sensitivity and specificity of the monoclonal Femto Lab H. pylori EIA were 93% and 90% respectively, and Premier Platinum HpSA were 84% and 67% respectively. They concluded that Femto Lab H.pylori was an excellent tool for the primary diagnosis of H.pylori infection in patients with dyspepsia [25].

Islam et al assessed the performance of the HpSA for both the diagnosis of H.pylori infection and for confirming post therapy eradication. The sensitivity and specificity of HpSA were 67% and 100% respectively. Therefore HpSA test was found to be a useful non-invasive diagnostic test for H.pylori infection as well as a useful method to assess the effectiveness of eradication of H.pylori infection [26].

Ito et al studied the role of HpSA test in comparison with UBT for the evaluation of the success of eradication therapy. The diagnostic accuracy of the UBT and the HpSA test was 94.3% and 97.1%, respectively where the difference was not very significant. Therefore the HpSA test is a simple non-invasive diagnostic tool for the evaluation of eradication therapy of H.pylori [27].

Conclusion

In conclusion, there is high prevalence of H.pylori infection and its associated gastrointestinal complications in developing countries like India. Prevention of these complications can be achieved through early diagnosis through conventional and affordable diagnostic methods. Therefore H.pylori stool test can be used as an alternative non-invasive test to breath test for diagnosis of primary infection of H.pylori.

Limitations- The limitations of this study are the sample size. The sample size studied is small, it requires to include more patients into this study to obtain more valuable results.

Addition to the existing knowledge by our study: The HpSA test can be used as a routine diagnostic tool for the diagnosis of H.pylori infection. We recommend an initial testing for Helicobacter pylori in dyspeptic patients by a simple non invasive method of stool HP antigen testing before endoscopy is done.
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