Evaluation of GeneXpert MTB/RIF assay in diagnosis of extra pulmonary tuberculosis and rifampicin resistance
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Abstract

Background: The prevalence of tuberculosis is still on the rise particularly extrapulmonary cases. There is an urgent need for rapid diagnosis of these cases for prompt initiation of treatment. Aim: To evaluate the role of GeneXpert MTB/RIF Assay in the diagnosis of clinically suspected extrapulmonary tuberculosis (EPTB) and to detect rifampicin resistance in these cases. Materials and methods: A total of 241 samples from April 2016 to July 2018, from all clinically suspected EPTB patients with support of either laboratory or radiological evidence were included in study. 132 pleural fluid, 59 lymph node aspirate, 21 CSF, 17 pus, 9 ascitic fluid and 3 synovial fluid samples were screened for presence of acid fast bacilli (AFB) by conventional Ziehl-Neelsen (ZN) technique. The same samples were also used for testing by GeneXpert MTB/RIF Assay. Results: Out of 241 EPTB samples tested, none were positive for AFB by ZN staining. Overall 9.54 % (23 out of 241) samples were positive for MTB by GeneXpert with a positivity rate of 23.80%, 13.55%, 11.76% & 6.06% respectively for CSF, lymph node aspirate, pus and pleural fluid. MTB was not detected in any of ascitic fluid & synovial fluid samples. Of the 23 MTB positive samples detected by GeneXpert, all were sensitive to rifampicin. Conclusions: GeneXpert was found to be simple, effective and useful diagnostic method for detection of EPTB due to its rapidity & simultaneous detection of rifampicin resistance, thus reducing the time taken for initiation of treatment.
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Introduction

Approximately one third of the world’s population has been infected with M.tuberculosis (MTB) and is at risk of developing the disease later in life. The killer disease thus claims more than five thousand deaths everyday i.e., one every fifteen seconds [1]. Despite many advances in its diagnosis and treatment, problem of tuberculosis is on the rise, both globally and in India.

Tuberculosis is usually a pulmonary disease; extrapulmonary tuberculosis (EPTB) is much less common, but infection may occur in any organ or tissue including lymph nodes, meninges, pleura, pericardium, kidneys, bones, joints, larynx, skin, peritoneum, intestine and eyes. EPTB constitutes 20% of burden of TB globally. EPTB is a paucibacillary disease as the number of bacteria is less to detect and are located deep seated in the organs [2]. The most effective control measure to check the spread of tuberculosis is to detect early to treat optimally at the earliest. Although Ziehl-Neelsen (ZN) stain - smear microscopy is most commonly employed for early detection, it is rather insensitive and fails to detect large number of cases [3].

Conventional methods like histology are never diagnostic and culture methods such as culture and drug susceptibility testing is complex and requires 6-8 weeks. Such a prolonged turnaround time is unacceptable for both medical and epidemiological purpose [4]. Though better methods of diagnosis of tuberculosis by molecular techniques are available; they are not being used in many cases owing to high cost per test or non-availability at majority of the centers. Hence there is a need for newer and faster diagnostic methods...
like nucleic acid amplification techniques (NAAT). Cartridge based nucleic acid amplification techniques (CBNAAT) such as GeneXpert have been recently recommended by World Health Organization and introduced in the diagnostic algorithms of tuberculosis. This GeneXpert, also known as Xpert MTB/ RIF assay or Xpert assay makes use of five different molecular beacons and each one of them being labelled with a differentially coloured fluorophore and responding to a specific nucleic acid sequence within the rpoB gene of M. tuberculosis.

Testing is carried out on the MTB/RIF test platform (GeneXpert, Cepheid), which integrates sample processing and PCR in a disposable plastic cartridge containing all reagents required for bacterial lysis, nucleic acid extraction, amplification, and ampiclon detection. The only manual step is the addition of a bactericidal buffer to sample to be tested before transferring a defined volume to the cartridge. The MTB/RIF cartridge is then inserted into the GeneXpert device, which provides results within 2 hours [5].

Rifampicin resistance is taken as a surrogate marker for multidrug resistant (MDR) TB, the result of which is also rapidly provided by this test within 2 hours. This study was undertaken to evaluate the role of GeneXpert MTB/RIF in the diagnosis of clinically suspected EPTB since it was introduced as a diagnostic tool in our district since last two years.

Materials and Methods

A total of 241 samples from April 2016 to July 2018, from all clinically suspected EPTB patients with support of either laboratory or radiological evidence were studied.

Place of study: Basaveshwara Medical College and Hospital & District General Hospital, Chitradurga district, Karnataka.

Type of study: Prospective analytical study

Sampling method: All continuous samples which satisfy inclusion criteria were taken for study.

Sample collection: Extra-pulmonary clinical samples were collected from hospitalized patients by treating physician after taking informed consent from all the patients. Based on the suspected site of localization, samples were collected under strict aseptic condition in appropriate quantity in sterile containers and sent to the central laboratory without any delay. These were pleural fluids (n=132), Lymph node FNAC (n=59), CSF (n=21), pus (n=17), ascitic fluid (n=9) and synovial fluid (n=3) specimens. All the samples were processed for biochemical, cytopathological examination and bacteriological examination using standard techniques as early as possible. If any delay is anticipated in processing, they were stored at 4°C. Our study also included 20 controls i.e. cases not suspected of having EPTB. Demographic data and the necessary clinical details of the patients were collected from the patient’s case sheets.

Inclusion criteria: (more than any two of the below to be fulfilled)
1. Clinical - fever and other constitutional symptoms for 2 weeks not responding to antibiotics
2. Biochemical – for CSF, proteins more than 60mg% , sugar < 2/3rd of blood sugar
3. Pathological – for Body fluids, lymphocytosis > 60% or for Lymph node FNAC samples showing granulomatous picture.
4. Radiological – Chest X-ray/CT scan showing tubercular changes
5. Supportive evidence – family history of tuberculosis / positive tuberculin test

Exclusion criteria: All cases already on antitubercular treatment or had been confirmed as having Tuberculosis were excluded from the study.

Processing and interpretation of samples: The samples were screened for presence of acid fast bacilli by conventional ZN technique. The same samples were also used for testing by GeneXpert MTB/RIF Assay. According to standard operating procedure, sampling reagent (containing NAOH and isopropanol) was mixed with the sample in a ratio of 2:1, in a specimen container. The container was closed and shaken vigorously on a vortex mixer for 10 minutes. Then it was left at room temperature for 10 minutes after which it was once again shaken vigorously for 10 more minutes.

The sample in the container was left to stand at room temperature for 10 minutes. Finally 2ml of the processed specimen was taken in a sterile plastic disposable pipette and transferred to GeneXpert cartridge. The cartridge is loaded into the GeneXpert instrument, and an automatic process completes the remaining assay steps. The electronic results were sent directly from the GeneXpert test system to the central database. Lyophilized Bacillus globigii spores present in the assay cartridge serve as an internal process...
control. Assays that found to be negative for *M. tuberculosis* and also negative for *B. globigii* internal control are reported as invalid tests. The test was repeated with the same mixture whenever an invalid result was found [6].

The results obtained were interpreted as follows [6]:
- MTB detected; RIF resistance detected (MTB+, RIF+)
- MTB detected; RIF resistance not detected (MTB+, RIF-)
- MTB detected; RIF resistance indeterminate (MTB+, RIF In)
- MTB not detected (MTB-)

Wherever possible, the patients were followed up to know their clinical status and the treatment outcome.

**Results**

Out of 241 EPTB patients, majorities (93.7%) of patients were adults and only 6.22% were children. Maximum cases (107) were in the age group 30-49 years of age (Table 1). About139 (57.67%) were male and 102 (42.32%) were female (Table 2) with a male to female ratio of 1.36:1. Of 139 males, MTB was detected in 12 (52.17%) by GeneXpert and out of 102 females, MTB was detected in 11 (47.82%) by GeneXpert. None of the patients were currently on antitubercular treatment (ATT).

241 samples of EPTB received in the Microbiology Laboratory for detecting MTB were categorized as listed in Table 3. Pleural fluid accounted for 54.7% (132) of EPTB samples, followed by 24.4% (59) accounted for by Lymph node FNAC, followed by 8.7% (21) accounted for CSF and pus accounted for 7.0 % (17). The remaining comprised of ascitic fluid 3.7% (9) and synovial fluids 1.2% (3). All samples were smear microscopy negative for AFB by ZN staining. MTB was detected in 23 (9.54%) EPTB samples by GeneXpert MTB/ RIF Assay. Among 132 pleural fluid samples, 8 (6.06%) were positive for MTB by GeneXpert MTB/ RIF Assay, among 55 lymph node samples 8 (13.55%) were positive, among 21 CSF samples 5 (23.80%) were positive, among 17 pus samples 2 (11.76%) were positive. Of the 23 MTB positive samples detected by GeneXpert MTB/ RIF Assay, all the samples were sensitive to rifampicin (Table 4).

**Table-1:** Showing Age distribution with positivity rate of GeneXpert MTB/RIF Assay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AgeRange</th>
<th>No. of EPTB cases (%)</th>
<th>No. of GeneXpert Positive (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-9 yrs</td>
<td>13 (5.39%)</td>
<td>3 (23.07%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-29Yrs</td>
<td>42 (17.42%)</td>
<td>6 (14.28%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-49Yrs</td>
<td>107 (44.39%)</td>
<td>12 (11.21%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 yrs&amp;Above</td>
<td>79 (32.7%)</td>
<td>2 (2.53%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>241</td>
<td>23 (9.54%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table-2:** Showing Co-relation of Sex with positivity rate of GeneXpert MTB/RIF Assay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>No. of EPTB Cases (%)</th>
<th>No. of GeneXpert Positive (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>139 (57.67%)</td>
<td>12 (8.63%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>102 (42.32%)</td>
<td>11 (10.78%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>241</td>
<td>23 (9.54%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table-3:** Showing GeneXpert MTB/RIF Assay in different types of EPTB specimens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen type</th>
<th>No (%)</th>
<th>No. of GeneXpert Positives</th>
<th>No. of GeneXpert Negatives</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pleural fluid</td>
<td>132(54.77%)</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>6.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node FNAC</td>
<td>59(24.81%)</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>13.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF</td>
<td>21(8.71%)</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>23.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pus</td>
<td>17(7.05%)</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11.76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascitic fluid</td>
<td>09(3.71%)</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synovial fluid</td>
<td>03(1.24%)</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>241</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>9.54%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Out of 241 EPTB cases there were 139 (57.67%) males and 102 (42.32%) females. Male to Female ratio was 1.36:1. Likely reasons of male preponderance are as follows: a) In a male dominated society, usually he is the earning member. As he goes out for work, he is more likely to come in contact with an active TB case. b) Men are more likely to acquire habits like smoking and alcoholism which predispose to TB. Out of 139 males, MTB was detected in 12 (52.17%) and out of 102 females, MTB was detected in 11 (47.82%). These findings correlate with Gaur et al [8], in which they found 174 (65.16%) males and 93 (34.83%) females. Of 174 males, MTB was detected in 26 (14.9%) and out of 93 females MTB was detected in 21 (22.5%).

In our study pleural fluid samples 132 (54.77%) were most common followed by lymph node aspirate 59 (24.81%), CSF21 (8.71%), pus 17 (7.05%), ascetic fluid 9 (3.71%) and synovial fluid 3 (1.24%) samples. This finding is similar to study conducted by Chander et al [9], where pleural fluid samples found to be the most common i.e. 53 cases (61.6%), followed by lymph node aspirates i.e. 20 cases (23.2%) and ascitic fluid samples i.e. 8 cases (9.3%). In another study done by Prakash et al [10] also the total number of different types of EPTB cases included pleural 148 (28.03%), lymph node131 (24.81%), abdomen 51 (9.66%), CNS66 (12.50%), bones and joints 65 (12.31%) and others 67 (12.69%). Overall 9.54% of EPTB samples were positive by GeneXpert MTB/RIF Assay in our study and is comparable to the results of Praveen et al [11], who reported 9.4% positivity. Causse et al [12] reported 11.8% positive GeneXpert results.

In a study conducted by Praveen et al [11], they found 10.1% pleural fluid sample positive for MTB by GeneXpert and Hilleman et al[13] found 3 positive GeneXpert results out of 113 pleural fluid samples (2.9%) all of which were negative on mycobacterial culture, the positivity rate for pleural fluids in our study was 6.06% (8/132) was in accordance with above studies. Rufai et al [14] showed that the Xpert has very low diagnostic sensitivity of 14.2% in pleural fluid, even in culture proven cases. Porcel et al [15] concluded that the Xpert MTB/RIF assay has a limited diagnostic capacity for pleural fluid samples of TB origin. This study found the sensitivity of Xpert pleural fluid specimens to be very low, with more than half of all pleural TB patients being missed by this test.

### Discussion

Smear microscopy used to be most commonly used method for diagnosis of tuberculosis till very recently in most of the centers. With the continuation of using only microscopy as method for diagnosis of EPTB, there is every chance that many cases would be missed because EPTB cases are paucibacillary and microscopy as method for diagnosis of tuberculosis till very recently in most of the centers. Since then GeneXpert was installed at district hospital in our city with collaboration with RNTCP in March 2016. Since then all the clinically suspected tuberculosis cases are tested by this method.

## Table 4: Showing Rifampicin sensitivity results among MTB positive cases on GeneXpert MTB/RIF Assay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of MTB positiveEPTB cases</th>
<th>Rifampicin Sensitive No (%)</th>
<th>Rifampicin Resistant No (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>23 (100%)</td>
<td>00 (0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Table 5: Showing GeneXpert results among EPTB cases and controls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>No. of GeneXpert Positive</th>
<th>No. of GeneXpert Negative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Controls - 20</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPTB cases - 241</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total - 261</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In a previous study conducted by K. Arora et al [7] conducted a study on trends of EPTB and found higher detection of EPTB cases in younger age group.

In our study pleural fluid samples found to be the most common i.e. 53 cases (61.6%), followed by lymph node aspirates i.e. 20 cases (23.2%) and ascitic fluid samples i.e. 8 cases (9.3%). In another study done by Prakash et al [10] also the total number of different types of EPTB cases included pleural 148 (28.03%), lymph node131 (24.81%), abdomen 51 (9.66%), CNS66 (12.50%), bones and joints 65 (12.31%) and others 67 (12.69%).

Overall 9.54% of EPTB samples were positive by GeneXpert MTB/RIF Assay in our study and is comparable to the results of Praveen et al [11], who reported 9.4% positivity. Causse et al [12] reported 11.8% positive GeneXpert results.

In our study we found majority of EPTB cases 44.39% (107/241) were of age group 30 to 49 years followed by 32.78% (79/241), 17.42% (42/241) were of 50 years & above age and 10-29 years respectively and least number of EPTB cases 5.39% (13/241) were found in 0-9 years of age group but maximum GeneXpert positivity rate i.e. 23.07% (3/13) for MTB detection found in 0-9 years of age group followed by 14.28% (6/42) 11.21% (12/107) in 10-29 years and 30-49 years respectively and least GeneXpert positivity rate i.e. 2.53% (2/79) in 50 years and above age group. The reasons that make this age group (30 to 49 years) vulnerable to TB are many. They are socially more active and are more exposed to open cases of TB than others. K. Arora et al [7] conducted a study on trends of EPTB and found higher detection of EPTB cases in younger age group.

In our study, out of 241 EPTB cases there were 139 (57.67%) males and 102 (42.32%) females. Male to Female ratio was 1.36:1. Likely reasons of male preponderance are as follows: a) In a male dominated society, usually he is the earning member. As he goes out for work, he is more likely to come in contact with an active TB case. b) Men are more likely to acquire habits like smoking and alcoholism which predispose to TB. Out of 139 males, MTB was detected in 12 (52.17%) and out of 102 females, MTB was detected in 11 (47.82%). These findings correlate with Gaur et al [8], in which they found 174 (65.16%) males and 93 (34.83%) females. Of 174 males, MTB was detected in 26 (14.9%) and out of 93 females MTB was detected in 21 (22.5%).

In our study pleural fluid samples 132 (54.77%) were most common followed by lymph node aspirate 59 (24.81%), CSF21 (8.71%), pus 17 (7.05%), ascetic fluid 9 (3.71%) and synovial fluid 3 (1.24%) samples. This finding is similar to study conducted by Chander et al [9], where pleural fluid samples found to be the most common i.e. 53 cases (61.6%), followed by lymph node aspirates i.e. 20 cases (23.2%) and ascitic fluid samples i.e. 8 cases (9.3%). In another study done by Prakash et al [10] also the total number of different types of EPTB cases included pleural 148 (28.03%), lymph node131 (24.81%), abdomen 51 (9.66%), CNS66 (12.50%), bones and joints 65 (12.31%) and others 67 (12.69%).

Overall 9.54% of EPTB samples were positive by GeneXpert MTB/RIF Assay in our study and is comparable to the results of Praveen et al [11], who reported 9.4% positivity. Causse et al [12] reported 11.8% positive GeneXpert results.

In a study conducted by Praveen et al [11], they found 10.1% pleural fluid sample positive for MTB by GeneXpert and Hilleman et al [13] found 3 positive GeneXpert results out of 113 pleural fluid samples (2.9%) all of which were negative on mycobacterial culture, the positivity rate for pleural fluids in our study was 6.06% (8/132) was in accordance with above studies. Rufai et al [14] showed that the Xpert has very low diagnostic sensitivity of 14.2% in pleural fluid, even in culture proven cases. Porcel et al [15] concluded that the Xpert MTB/RIF assay has a limited diagnostic capacity for pleural fluid samples of TB origin. This study found the sensitivity of Xpert pleural fluid specimens to be very low, with more than half of all pleural TB patients being missed by this test.
Guidelines on EPTB for India recommend that Xpert MTB/RIF should not be used to diagnose pleural TB exclusively [16]. Our study found detection by Gene Xpert in CSF samples to be 23.80%, which is higher than that in any other specimen type (lymph node, pleural fluid, pus, ascitic fluid and synovial fluid). In a study from India [17], the positivity rate with GeneXpert assay was 24.6% for CSF. Various Studies that assessed GeneXpert in CSF samples found a variable sensitivity of 20-86% [18, 19, 20, and 21].

Guidelines on EPTB for India recommend that GeneXpert may be used as an adjunctive test for TB meningitis (TBm). A negative GeneXpert result on a CSF specimen does not rule out TBm. The decision to give ATT should be based on clinical features and CSF profile [16]. Data from prior studies suggested a potential role for nucleic acid amplification tests in the diagnosis of TB from CSF and the results for GeneXpert here are in accordance with the findings. It’s been observed that GeneXpert assay does not reach the sensitivity of culture, however it can significantly improve/ fasten the diagnosis of TBm especially in places where expertise for culture or other diagnostic tests are not available or where a rapid diagnosis of TB is necessary [11].

Our study found 13.55% GeneXpert detection rate in lymph node samples. Kumar et al [22] found higher positivity rate 66.7% by GeneXpert in lymph node samples. We found that GeneXpert can be useful in confirming a diagnosis in patients suspected of Lymph node TB when considered alongside the results of FNAC, but a negative Xpert test does not rule out lymph node TB. Guidelines on EPTB for India also recommend that Xpert MTB/RIF should be used as an additional test to conventional smear microscopy, culture, and cytology in FNAC specimens [16].

GeneXpert did not detect MTB in any of the nine ascitic fluid & three synovial fluid samples in this study, suggesting that a negative Xpert result does not rule out Tuberculosis in above samples & they must be investigated further using other phenotypic methods. This finding was in accordance with Kumar et al [22]. Previous studies have also reported low sensitivity (6.3%, 9.3% 17.9%, 27.8%) of GeneXpert on ascitic fluid samples [15, 11, 17, 13]. 7.05% of pus samples were positive by Xpert MTB/RIF Assay in our study. The data on the utility of Xpert MTB/RIF for pus, synovial fluid are limited.

Out of 241 EPTB cases, none were found out be positive for AFB on the conventional ZN method in our study. There are various reports regarding the sensitivity of ZN smear for extra-pulmonary specimen ranging from as low as 0% to as high as 75%. This limitation has been reported to be due to inadequacy and paucibacillary nature of extra pulmonary specimens [23]. Meenal Bagdia et al reported positivity rate of 9.28% on ZN method [24]. Out of 241 EPTB cases 23 (9.54%) were found positive by GeneXpert in our study. So, GeneXpert was able to pick 23 EPTB cases which otherwise would have been missed by ZN smear examination alone. We also compared diagnostic modalities of pulmonary tuberculosis with EPTB. Out of a total of 1497 suspected Pulmonary TB cases (PTB), 199 (13.3%) were found out to be positive for MTB by sputum smear examination (ZN stain) whereas 266 (17.8%) PTB cases were found positive by GeneXpert. So, GeneXpert was able to pick 67 PTB cases which otherwise would have been missed by sputum smear examination alone. The above result clearly shows that Gene Xpert is the only rapid diagnostic method currently available for detecting MTB in EPTB and PTB specimens.

Not only MTB detection but also rapidly determining the patient’s multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) status in such cases is of prime importance in bringing to an end the spread of MDR-TB and decreasing mortality. There was no rifampicin resistance in the population studied, all the 23 MTB positive samples detected by Gene Xpert-MTB/RIF assay in our study were sensitive to Rifampicin. This finding was in accordance with Rufai et al [14], who have also reported similar sensitivity of rifampicin, whereas Pravin et al [11] detected Rifampicin resistance in 4 out of 16 MTB detected in the pleural fluid and one rifampicin resistance out of 5 MTB detected in the ascitic fluid samples.

Our study included 20 controls i.e. cases not suspected of having EPTB; MTB was not detected in any of the controls by GeneXpert assay. Hence no false positive results were detected in our study which indicates higher specificity of Gene Xpert in our study. The biggest advantage of GeneXpert is that of sample processing. The process is simplified into a very simple and single step. Data from a recent study confirm that the MTB/RIF assay generates no infectious aerosols [25]. The simplicity and safety feature of this set up encourages us to use this as a rapid and highly sensitive method for detection of MTB cases as well as drug resistance in these cases without the need for sending the sample for higher centres and delaying the diagnosis. However the drawback of this set up is that it can process only four samples every two hours and also the cost can be too high for routine laboratories to afford.
Although GeneXpert assay is considered a breakthrough in the diagnosis of PTB and EPTB, one of the major limitations of this technique is that it cannot distinguish between viable and non-viable microorganisms while detecting MTB DNA. Hence it should not be used to monitor patients or efficacy of the treatment. Our study has following limitations (a) not having comparative data with Culture. (b) It was an experimental study and the samples included were also small to make a generalized statement.

Conclusions

In our study Gene Xpert was found to be more sensitive than routine smear methods. It detected many extra-pulmonary samples to be positive for tuberculosis, all of which were missed by ZN staining. Also within a short duration it detected Rifampicin sensitivity. Due to the economical constraints in resource limited settings and those laboratories receiving large numbers of samples, judicious use of GeneXpert is recommended and can be used in Indian health-care setting only as an additional tool for the diagnosis of EPTB.

What this study adds to existing knowledge: The Gene Xpert MTB/RIF performance varies with the EPTB sample type. Although it has limited sensitivity, it was found to be simple, rapid and more effective method for detection of EPTB cases and also the drug resistance could be detected simultaneously, thus reducing the time taken for initiation of treatment. Xpert assay is relatively more expensive than traditional culture methods; however it makes an important contribution to the modern day detection of TB as it has higher sensitivity than smear and provides a more rapid diagnosis than culture and histology.
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