

# Study of fine needle aspiration cytology of lymphadenopathy in tertiary care centre of Ahmedabad, Gujarat

Sharma R.I.<sup>1</sup>, Dharaiya C.M.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dr. Renu I. Sharma, Assistant Professor, Department of Pathology, SMMH Medical College (Rajkiya Medical College), Saharanpur, U.P., India. <sup>2</sup>Dr. Chetankumar M. Dharaiya, Associate Professor, Department of Pathology, GMERS Medical College, Sola-Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India.

**Corresponding Author:** Dr. Chetankumar M. Dharaiya, MD-Pathology, G-9, G-10, Alaknanda Society, B/H Civil Hospital, Near Viththal Nagar Cross Road, Opp. Hitendra Desai Garden, Sahibaug, Ahmedabad. Email: dr\_chetan77@yahoo.co.in

## Abstract

**Introduction:** Lymph nodes are a site for organized collections of lympho-reticular tissue and are pink gray bean shaped encapsulated organs. Lymphadenopathy is one of the most common clinical presentations of patients attending the outpatient department. Lymph nodes are among the commonly aspirated organs for diagnostic purposes. Fine-needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) is a clinical technique used to obtain cells, tissues and/or fluid through a thin needle attached with disposable syringe for the purpose of diagnosis of masses. **Aims and Objectives:** The aims of this study is to find out the frequency of lymphadenopathy, etiological factors and cyto-morphological features in different age groups and incidence of various lymph node diseases on fine-needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) and to analyze the utility and diagnostic importance of FNAC in lymph node diseases. **Materials and Methods:** The present study was carried out in Department of Pathology at GMERS Medical College, Sola-Ahmedabad, Gujarat a Tertiary Care Centre. A total of 268 patients of all age groups underwent FNAC of enlarged lymph nodes during this study period. **Results:** Tuberculous lymphadenitis was recorded as the most common presentation of lymphadenopathy in the cervical region. Male to female ratio is 1:1.13. Most common causes of lymphadenopathy in 11-40 years age group was tubercular lymphadenitis and metastatic carcinoma in patients >50 years of age. **Conclusion:** FNAC of lymph nodes is an excellent first line investigation to determine the nature of lesion. It is quick, safe, minimally invasive, and reliable and is readily accepted by the patient.

**Keywords:** Fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC), Lymph node, lymphadenopathy, tuberculous lymphadenitis

## Introduction

Medicine is an ever-changing science and the past few decades have witnessed dramatic advances in every sphere. Despite rapid advances and the advent of newer methods of diagnostic imaging, an important determinant of patient management rests on tissue diagnosis. Aspiration of lymph nodes for diagnostic purposes was first done by Griey and Gray in 1904, in patients with sleeping sickness [1]. Lymph nodes are among the commonly aspirated organs for diagnostic purposes [2]. In 1927, Dudgeon and Patrick were the first to use FNAC in diagnosing tuberculous lymphadenitis [3]. Lymph nodes are a site for organized collections of lympho-reticular tissue and are pink gray bean shaped encapsulated organs. They are located at anatomically constant points along the course of

lymphatic vessels. The common sites of distribution are cervical, axillary, mediastinal, retroperitoneal, iliac, and inguinal regions.

Fine-needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) is a clinical technique used to obtain cells, tissues and/or fluid through a thin needle attached with disposable syringe for the purpose of diagnosis of masses [4]. De May has summarized the advantages of FNAC with the acronym SAFE means Simple, Accurate, Fast and Economical [5]. The diagnostic yield of FNAC can be improved if it is accompanied by radiological guidance like ultrasonography and computed tomography scan [6].

Lymphadenopathy is one of the most common clinical presentations of patients attending the outpatient department. Thus, lymphadenopathy may be an incidental finding and/or primary or secondary

Manuscript received: 7<sup>th</sup> June 2018  
Reviewed: 17<sup>th</sup> June 2018  
Author Corrected: 25<sup>th</sup> June 2018  
Accepted for Publication: 28<sup>th</sup> June 2018

### Original Research Article

manifestation of underlying diseases which may be neoplastic or non-neoplastic [7]. Lymph node aspiration is of great value in diagnosing lymphadenitis, lymphomas, and metastatic carcinoma [8]. In 1847, Kun had done the pioneering act of first time reporting the use of aspiration biopsy.

Since then fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) has been a rapid, simple, safe, reliable minimally invasive and inexpensive method of establishing the diagnosis of lesions and masses in various sites and organs and is the most convenient bedside diagnostic aid [9,10,11,12,13].

Draw backs of FNAC also exist like sampling error in form of improper technique, micro metastasis, benign epithelial inclusions, partial lymphnode involvement by lesion and a very small lymph node where sampling is difficult, also a high incidence of false results [8].

### Aims and Objectives

The aims of this study are:

1. To evaluate the role of FNAC in patients presenting with lymph node enlargement.
2. To find out the frequency of lymphadenopathy in different age groups.
3. To find out the etiological factors causing lymphadenopathy in different age groups.
4. To assess the cytomorphological features and incidence of various lymph node diseases on fine-needle aspiration cytology (FNAC)
5. To analyze the utility and diagnostic importance of FNAC in lymph node diseases.

### Materials and Methods

**Type of study:** Retrospective study

**Place of Study:** This retrospective study was carried out in Department of Pathology at GMERS Medical College, Sola-Ahmedabad, Gujarat a Tertiary Care Centre for the period of two years from January 2016 to December 2017 was taken up for our study. A total of

### Results

In this retrospective study which was carried out at GMERS Medical College, Sola-Ahmedabad, Gujarat during the period of two years from January 2016 to December 2017, total of 268 patients of all age groups were underwent FNAC for enlarged lymph nodes.

Table: 1 shows the cytomorphological diagnosis in 268 patients with lymphadenopathy. Most common lesion found in our study was tubercular lymphadenitis in 146 cases (54.5%), followed by granulomatous lymphadenitis in 45 cases (16.8%) and metastatic carcinoma in 28 cases (10.4%).

268 patients of all age groups underwent FNAC of enlarged lymph nodes during this study period.

**Inclusion criteria:** All patients presenting with lymph node enlargement were included in the study.

**Exclusion criteria:** Those patients with aspirated material was either inadequate or smears were unsatisfactory for evaluation and known case of malignancy were excluded from this study.

**Sample collection and method:** After obtaining the Ethical Committee Clearance from our institution and informed consent from the patients, FNAC was performed using a 22–24 gauge needle and 10 ml syringe. Two of the prepared smears were fixed in alcohol and stained with hematoxylin and eosin and Papanicolaou stain.

Two smears were air dried, one was stained with Leishman stain and the other kept unstained to be used for Ziehl–Neelsen (ZN) staining whenever a cytological diagnosis of granulomatous disease was made and also in cases with abundant necrosis and suppuration. In cases where fluid was aspirated, the fluid was centrifuged and smears were made from the sediment, followed by the above staining methods.

After studying all the clinical data, the smears were examined under the microscope. Based on the cellularity, the smears were categorized as of high, moderate, and low cellularity. The smears, which were hemorrhagic or with scanty cellularity to the extent that diagnosis could not be offered were labeled as inadequate for opinion.

The criteria for the lymph node aspirates to be diagnosed as tubercular lymphadenitis included the presence of epithelioid cell granuloma and caseous necrosis with or without Langhans giant cells or ZN positivity. Granulomatous lymphadenitis was diagnosed in the presence of epithelioid cell granuloma with or without giant cells and with the absence of necrosis [14].

**Table:1 Distribution of cytomorphological diagnosis of lymphadenopathy.**

| Sr. No. | Cytological Diagnosis           | No. of Cases |            | Total (%)   |
|---------|---------------------------------|--------------|------------|-------------|
|         |                                 | Male (%)     | Female (%) |             |
| 1.      | Tuberculous Lymphadenitis       | 61 (41.8)    | 85 (58.2)  | 146 (54.5)  |
| 2.      | Reactive Hyperplasia            | 12 (57.1)    | 09 (42.9)  | 21 (7.8)    |
| 3.      | Granulomatous Lymphadenitis     | 18 (40.0)    | 27 (60.0)  | 45 (16.8)   |
| 4.      | Metastatic Carcinoma            | 17 (60.7)    | 11 (39.3)  | 28 (10.4)   |
| 5.      | Hodgkin's Lymphoma              | 02 (100.0)   | -          | 02 (0.8)    |
| 6.      | Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma          | 03 (75.0)    | 01 (25.0)  | 04 (1.5)    |
| 7.      | Acute Suppurative Lymphadenitis | 13 (61.9)    | 08 (38.1)  | 21 (7.8)    |
| 8.      | Others (Fungal Infection)       | -            | 01 (100.0) | 01 (0.4)    |
| 9.      | Total                           | 126 (47.0)   | 142 (53.0) | 268 (100.0) |

Table: 2 shows the age and sex distribution of the patients with lymphadenopathy. Age of the patients ranged from 2 to 78 years. The youngest patient was diagnosed as tubercular lymphadenitis, and the oldest patient was diagnosed as having metastatic squamous cell carcinoma. Out of total 268 cases, majority of the patients were in the age group of 11–40 years, with a peak (91 patients, 34.0%) in the age group 21–30 years, followed by 62 patients (23.1%) in the age group of 31-40 years and 40 patients (14.9%) in the age group of 11-20 years. Out of total 268 patients, there were 126 male (47.0%) and 142 female (53.0%) patients, with a male to female ratio of 1:1.13.

**Table: 2 Age and Sex wise Distribution of lymphadenopathy.**

| Age Group (in Years) | No. of Males (%) | No. of Females (%) | Total (%)   |
|----------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| < 10                 | 05 (1.9)         | 04 (1.5)           | 09 (3.4)    |
| 11-20                | 17 (6.3)         | 23 (8.6)           | 40 (14.9)   |
| 21-30                | 41 (15.3)        | 50 (18.7)          | 91 (34.0)   |
| 31-40                | 30 (11.2)        | 32 (11.9)          | 62 (23.1)   |
| 41-50                | 14 (5.2)         | 13 (4.9)           | 27 (10.1)   |
| 51-60                | 09 (3.3)         | 09 (3.3)           | 18 (6.7)    |
| >60                  | 10 (3.7)         | 11 (4.1)           | 21 (7.8)    |
| Total                | 126 (47.0)       | 142 (53.0)         | 268 (100.0) |

Table 3 shows the site wise lymph node groups involved in various types of lymphadenopathy. It has been found that out of total 268 patients, the most common lymph nodes group involved in various types of lymphadenopathy were the cervical group having 168 patients (62.7%) followed by axillary group having 46 patients (17.2%) and supraclavicular group having 36 patients (13.4%). Out of total 168 patients of cervical lymphadenopathy, most common finding was of tuberculous lymphadenitis in 119 patients (70.8%). In 46 patients of axillary lymphadenopathy, most common finding was of granulomatous lymphadenitis in 18 patients (39.1%). In 36 patients of supraclavicular lymphadenopathy, most common finding was of tuberculous lymphadenitis

**Table: 3 Site wise Lymphnode group involved in various lymphadenopathy.**

| Cytomorphological Diagnosis     | Cervical   | Axillary  | Supra-clavicular | Inguinal | Generalised | Total (%)   |
|---------------------------------|------------|-----------|------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| Tuberculous Lymphadenitis       | 119        | 05        | 12               | 02       | 08          | 146 (54.5)  |
| Reactive Hyperplasia            | 09         | 07        | 03               | -        | 02          | 21 (7.8)    |
| Granulomatous Lymphadenitis     | 14         | 18        | 12               | 01       | -           | 45 (16.8)   |
| Metastatic Carcinoma            | 12         | 09        | 05               | 02       | -           | 28 (10.4)   |
| Hodgkin's Lymphoma              | 01         | -         | 01               | -        | -           | 02 (0.8)    |
| Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma          | 02         | -         | -                | 01       | 01          | 04 (1.5)    |
| Acute Suppurative Lymphadenitis | 11         | 06        | 03               | 01       | -           | 21 (7.8)    |
| Others                          | -          | 01        | -                | -        | -           | 01 (0.4)    |
| Total                           | 168 (62.7) | 46 (17.2) | 36 (13.4)        | 07 (2.6) | 11 (4.1)    | 268 (100.0) |

## Original Research Article

Table 4 and 5 shows age wise distribution of lymphnode group and cytomorphological lesion. In all the age groups, most of the patients were presented with tuberculous lymphadenitis in cervical group. Metastatic carcinoma was more common in age group of more than 51 years and above and majority of the patients were male. Highest peak incidence was reported in more than 60 years of age group followed by 51-60 years age group.

**Table: 4 Age, Sex and Site wise distribution of patients of lymphadenopathy.**

| Age Group | Sex    | Cervical       | Axillary      | Supra-clavicular | Inguinal     | General      | Total           | Total (M+F)     |
|-----------|--------|----------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| < 10      | Male   | 03             | 01            | -                | 01           | -            | 05              | 09<br>(3.4%)    |
|           | Female | 02             | 01            | 01               | -            | -            | 04              |                 |
| 11-20     | Male   | 08             | 03            | 05               | -            | 01           | 17              | 40<br>(14.9%)   |
|           | Female | 12             | 05            | 03               | 01           | 02           | 23              |                 |
| 21-30     | Male   | 30             | 08            | 02               | -            | 01           | 41              | 91<br>(34.0%)   |
|           | Female | 36             | 07            | 05               | 01           | 01           | 50              |                 |
| 31-40     | Male   | 16             | 06            | 05               | 01           | 02           | 30              | 62<br>(23.1%)   |
|           | Female | 22             | 04            | 04               | 01           | 01           | 32              |                 |
| 41-50     | Male   | 09             | 02            | 02               | 01           | -            | 14              | 27<br>(10.1%)   |
|           | Female | 09             | 02            | 01               | -            | 01           | 13              |                 |
| 51-60     | Male   | 04             | 02            | 03               | -            | -            | 09              | 18<br>(6.7%)    |
|           | Female | 05             | 01            | 02               | -            | 01           | 09              |                 |
| >60       | Male   | 06             | 02            | 01               | -            | 01           | 10              | 21<br>(7.8%)    |
|           | Female | 06             | 02            | 02               | 01           | -            | 11              |                 |
| Total     |        | 168<br>(62.7%) | 46<br>(17.2%) | 36<br>(13.4%)    | 07<br>(2.6%) | 11<br>(4.1%) | 268<br>(100.0%) | 268<br>(100.0%) |

**Table: 5 Age and Sex wise distribution of cytomorphological pattern of diagnosis.**

| Age Group    | Sex    | Tuber-culous   | Reacti-ve    | Granulo-matous | Metas-tatic   | Hodg-kin's   | Non-Hodgkin's | Acute Suppurative | Others       | Total           |
|--------------|--------|----------------|--------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| < 10         | Male   | 02             | 01           | 01             | -             | -            | -             | 01                | -            | 05              |
|              | Female | 03             | -            | 01             | -             | -            | -             | -                 | -            | 04              |
| 11-20        | Male   | 09             | 01           | 02             | -             | 01           | -             | 04                | -            | 17              |
|              | Female | 13             | 02           | 05             | -             | -            | -             | 03                | -            | 23              |
| 21-30        | Male   | 25             | 02           | 05             | 02            | 01           | -             | 06                | -            | 41              |
|              | Female | 37             | 02           | 07             | -             | -            | -             | 03                | 01           | 50              |
| 31-40        | Male   | 17             | 04           | 06             | 01            | -            | -             | 02                | -            | 30              |
|              | Female | 21             | 02           | 09             | -             | -            | -             | -                 | -            | 32              |
| 41-50        | Male   | 05             | 02           | 02             | 04            | -            | 01            | -                 | -            | 14              |
|              | Female | 07             | 01           | 02             | 02            | -            | -             | 01                | -            | 13              |
| 51-60        | Male   | 02             | -            | 01             | 05            | -            | 01            | -                 | -            | 09              |
|              | Female | 02             | 02           | 02             | 03            | -            | -             | -                 | -            | 09              |
| >60          | Male   | 01             | 02           | 01             | 05            | -            | 01            | -                 | -            | 10              |
|              | Female | 02             | -            | 01             | 06            | -            | 01            | 01                | -            | 11              |
| Total Male   |        | 61             | 12           | 18             | 17            | 02           | 03            | 13                | 00           | 126             |
| Total Female |        | 85             | 09           | 27             | 11            | 00           | 01            | 08                | 01           | 142             |
| Total (M+F)  |        | 146<br>(54.5%) | 21<br>(7.8%) | 45<br>(16.8%)  | 28<br>(10.4%) | 02<br>(0.8%) | 04<br>(1.5%)  | 21<br>(7.8%)      | 01<br>(0.4%) | 268<br>(100.0%) |

**Discussion**

In the present study, an attempt has been made to study the cytomorphological spectrum and epidemiological pattern of lymph node lesions. Adequate material was obtained in all 100% cases which correlated with the

study by Hemalatha et al (98%) and Gupta et al (85.2%) and Budge SA et al (98.4%)[15,16,17]. In the present study, aspirates were benign in 87.3% cases; metastatic deposits were found in 10.4% and lymphomas in 2.3%.

## Original Research Article

Similar findings were also obtained by Budge SA et al, (LN-1) Gupta AK et al, Patra AK et al and Raghuvver CV et al [12,18,19]. In our study, the majority of the patients were in the age group of 21–40 years. This was correlated with the study by Budge SA et al and Chandanwale et al, where maximum numbers of cases were seen in the age group of 21–40 years [17,20]. Cervical lymph nodes were the most common group of lymph nodes involved was found in 168 cases (62.7%).

Similar results were observed by Hirachand et al, Budge SA et al, Chandanwale et al and Khajuria et al [14,17,20,21]. Tuberculous lymphadenitis was the most common lesion and was reported in 146 cases (54.5%) out of total 268 cases. This correlated with the study by Budge SA et al (48.7%) and Khajuria et al. (52.3%) [17,21]. India is the country with the highest burden of TB that mainly involves the lungs followed by cervical lymph nodes. The portal of entry of TB bacilli into cervical lymph nodes is usually tonsils or adenoids. This could be the reason for the high number of TB lymphadenitis in the present study [22].

In present study, it was seen more frequently in the third and fourth decades of life with a female preponderance (male: female = 1:1.13). Cervical lymph nodes were most commonly involved by tuberculosis (70.8%), followed by axillary group (10%). Similar observations were made in the study by Budge SA et al and Khajuria et al [17,21]. Out of total 146 cases of tuberculous lymphadenitis, ZN staining for AFB was positive in 59 cases (40.4%) in our study. Ng et al reported positivity in 41.6% cases and Ahmed et al in 46% cases [23,24]. In our study, necrosis alone was seen in 11 cases and all 11 cases were positive for AFB. Granulomatous lymphadenitis was seen in 45 cases (16.8%). Majority of the patients were between 21-40 years. Similar age distribution was found by Hemalatha et al and Ng et al [15,23]. In present study, reactive lymphadenopathy was in 21 (7.8%) cases. This is similar to the study by Budge SA et al (8.06%), Khan et al (28%) and Javed et al (16.66%) [17,25,26].

Lymph node aspirates in 28 cases (10.4%) showed metastatic deposits. This is in correlation with the studies by Patel et al, Ghartimagar et al and Bhavani et al where metastatic deposits were seen in 27.06%, 18% cases and 9.5% respectively [27,28,29]. Most of the metastatic deposits were from squamous cell carcinoma arising commonly in the tongue, alveolus, buccal mucosa, palate and from lung followed by adenocarcinoma. This high percentage of squamous cell carcinoma was probably because of very high number of people have a bad habit of tobacco chewing [30]. Similar to most of the recently published studies, our

series also noted that SCC was most common metastasis in the cervical lymph nodes followed by adenocarcinoma [29,31]. The application of FNAC in the diagnosis of lymphoma is still controversial, particularly in cases of low-grade NHL. In this study, a total of six cases (2.3%) of lymphoma were diagnosed out of which four cases (1.5%) were of Non Hodgkin's lymphoma and two case (0.8%) were of Hodgkin's lymphoma. Similar results were found by Vimal S et al (2.67%), Arul P et al (3.0%) and Bhavani et al (1.2%) [8,22,29]. Age of the patient and polymorphous population of cells and atypical cells should raise a suspicion of Hodgkin's lymphoma. Inadequate samples and fibrosed nodes in advanced disease may be the cause of lack of Reed–Sternberg cells. Entities that can be diagnosed definitely on FNAC include high-grade lymphomas such as small non cleaved lymphoma, lymphoblastic lymphoma, immunoblastic lymphomas, Hodgkin's lymphoma, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, and myeloblastic and lympho-blastic leukemia/lymphoma.

FNAC plays a greater role in the management of Hodgkin's disease as compared to NHL as it helps in the primary diagnosis, staging of the patient and monitoring the recurrence of the disease. Suboptimal cytologic preparations, variable pattern in one node, distinction from reactive lymph node and limitations of the FNAC procedure like aspirates can only be taken from the focal area in the lymph node are some of the shortcomings which make the diagnosis of NHL difficult. With the help of flow cytometry and immunohistochemistry in adjunct to FNAC the diagnosis of NHL can be made much easier.

### Conclusion

FNAC of lymph nodes is an excellent first line investigation to determine the nature of lesion. It is quick, safe, minimally invasive, and reliable and is readily accepted by the patient. It is an economical and convenient alternative to open biopsy of lymph nodes. Our study concluded that FNAC in the diagnosis of cervical lymphadenopathy was sensitive, specific, and accurate. In the current study, tuberculous lymphadenitis was recorded as the most common presentation of lymphadenopathy in the cervical region. This study also highlights the usefulness of FNAC as a reliable method of investigation for lymphadenopathy. Most common causes of lymphadenopathy in 11-40 years age group was tubercular lymphadenitis and metastatic carcinoma in patients >50 years of age. In present study, male to female ratio is 1:1.13. In present study, the most commonly involved group in various types of lymphadenopathy was the cervical group.

## Original Research Article

**Importance of this study:** FNAC is the most reliable, safe and cost effective technique in diagnosis of any lymphadenopathy. Cytological diagnosis by Fine Needle Aspiration Cytology must be undertaken before excision biopsy. Majority of the diagnosis can be done by cytology.

**Author contribution:** First author Dr. Renu Sharma has prepared the study design and drafted manuscript in presentable manner for publication in journal. Second and corresponding author Dr. Chetan Dharaiya has collected all data and done study in his own institute.

**Funding:** Nil, **Conflict of interest:** None initiated

**Permission from IRB:** Yes

## References

- Cohen MB, Miller TR, Bottles K. Classics in cytology: note on fine needle aspiration of the lymphatic glands in sleeping sickness. *Acta Cytol.* 1986 Jul-Aug; 30(4):451-2.
- Mohanty R, Wilkinson A. Utility of Fine Needle Aspiration Cytology of Lymph nodes. *IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences* 2013;8(5):13-8.
- Guthrie CG. Gland puncture as a diagnostic measure. *John Hopkins Bull* 1921;32:266-9.
- Orell SR, Sterrett GF, Walters MN, Whitaker D. Introduction: Manual and atlas of fine needle aspiration cytology, 3<sup>rd</sup>edi. New York: Churchill Livingstone; 1999. P. 2-16.
- Wu M, Burstein DE. Fine needle aspiration. *Cancer Invest.* 2004;22(4):620-8.
- Simo R, Leslie A. Differential diagnosis and management of neck lumps. *Surg Int* 2008;74:312-22. doi: [10.1053/j.mpsur.2006.07.006](https://doi.org/10.1053/j.mpsur.2006.07.006)
- Pandit AA, Candes FP, Khubchandani SR. Fine needle aspiration cytology of lymph nodes. *J Postgrad Med.* 1987 Jul;33(3):134-6.
- Vimal S, Dharwadkar A, Chandanwale SS, Vishwanathan V, Kumar H. Cytomorphological study of lymph node lesions: A study of 187 cases. *Med J D Y Patil Univ* 2016; 9: 43-50. doi: [10.17511/jopm.2017.i3.22](https://doi.org/10.17511/jopm.2017.i3.22)
- Pavithra P, Geetha JP. Role of fine needle aspiration cytology in the evaluation of the spectrum of lymph node lesions. *Int J Pharm Bio Sci* 2014;5:377-84. doi: [10.17511/jopm.2017.i3.22](https://doi.org/10.17511/jopm.2017.i3.22).
- Kochchar Ak, Duggal G, Singh K, Kochchhar SK. Spectrum of cytological findings in patients with lymphadenopathy in rural population of South Haryana, India – Experience in a tertiary care hospital. *Internet J Pathol* 2012;13:8.
- Behm FG, O'Dowd GJ, Frable WJ. Fine-needle aspiration effects on benign lymph node histology. *Am J Clin Pathol.* 1984 Aug;82(2):195-8.
- Gupta AK, Nayar M, Chandra M. Reliability and limitations of fine needle aspiration cytology of lymphadenopathies. An analysis of 1,261 cases. *Acta Cytol.* 1991 Nov-Dec;35(6):777-83.
- Steel BL, Schwartz MR, Ramzy I. Fine needle aspiration biopsy in the diagnosis of lymphadenopathy in 1,103 patients. Role, limitations and analysis of diagnostic pitfalls. *Acta Cytol.* 1995 Jan-Feb;39(1): 76-81.
- Hirachand S, Lakhey M, Akhter J, et al. Evaluation of fine needle aspiration cytology of lymph nodes in Kathmandu Medical College, Teaching hospital. *Kathmandu Univ Med J (KUMJ).* 2009 Apr-Jun; 7 (26): 139-42.
- Hemalatha A, Udaya Kumar M, Harendra Kumar ML. Fine needle aspiration cytology of lymphnodes: A mirror in the diagnosis of spectrum of lymphnode lesions. *J Clin Biomed Sci* 2011;1:164-72. doi: [10.17511/jopm.2017.i3.22](https://doi.org/10.17511/jopm.2017.i3.22).
- Gupta S, Rajak CL, Sood BP, Gulati M, Rajwanshi A, Suri S. Sonographically guided fine needle aspiration biopsy of abdominal lymph nodes: Experience in 102 patients. *J Ultrasound Med* 1999; 18:135-9. PMID : 10206806
- Badge SA, Oyhal AG, Azad K, Meshram AT. Study of fine needle aspiration cytology of lymphnode in rural area of Bastar District, Chhattisgarh. *Med J D Y Patil Univ* 2017;10:143-8. DOI: [10.4103/0975-2870.202097](https://doi.org/10.4103/0975-2870.202097)
- Patra AK, Nanda BK, Mohapatra BK, et al. Diagnosis of lymphadenopathy by fine needle aspiration cytology. *Indian J Pathol Microbiol.* 1983 Oct; 26 (4):273-8.
- Raghuveer CV, Pai MR, Manohar C. Role of FNAC in disorders of lymph nodes. *J Cytol*1996;13:45-9.
- Mittal P, Handa U, Mohan H, et al. Comparative evaluation of fine needle aspiration cytology, culture, and PCR in diagnosis of tuberculous lymphadenitis. DOI: [10.1002/dc.21472](https://doi.org/10.1002/dc.21472)

**Original Research Article**

21. Khajuria R, Goswami KC, Singh K, Dubey VK. Pattern of lymphadenopathy on fine needle aspiration cytology in Jammu. *JK Sci* 2006;8:157-9.
22. Arul P, Masilamani S, Akshatha C. Diagnostic efficacy of fine needle aspiration cytology in the evaluation of cervical lymphadenopathy. *J Sci Soc* 2016; 43:117-21. DOI: 10.4103/0974-5009.190519
23. Ng WF, Kung RT. Clinical research pathology of tuberculous lymphadenitis. A fine needle aspiration approach. *J Honk Kong Med Assoc* 1990; 42: 18-21. DOI: 10.18410/jebmh/2017/829
24. Ahmed SS, Akhtar S, Akhtar K, Naseem S, Mansoor T, Khalil S. Incidence of tuberculosis from study of fine needle aspiration cytology in lymphadenopathy and acid fast staining. *Indian J Community Med* 2005;30:63-6.
25. Khan AH, Hayat AS, Baloch GH, Jaffery MH, Soomro MA, Siddiqui S. Study of FNAC in cervical lymphadenopathy. *World Appl Sci* 2011;12:1951-4.
26. Javed M. Diagnostic value of FNAC in cervical lymphadenopathy. *J Postgrad Med Inst* 2006;20:117-20.
27. Patel MM, Italiya SL, Dhandha ZB, Dudhat RB, Kaptan KR, Shah MB et al. Study of metastasis in lymphnodes in FNAC: Our institutional experience. *Int J Res Med Sci* 2013;1:451-4. DOI: 10.5455/2320-6012. Ijrms 20131128
28. Ghartimagar D, Ghosh A, Ranabhat S, Shrestha MK, Narasimhan R, Talwar OP. Utility of fine needle aspiration cytology in metastatic lymph nodes. *J Pathol Nepal* 2011;1:92-5.
29. Bhavani C, Neeraja M, Varalakshmi KP, Ramana Babu PV, Chaitanya B, Sravani P. Role of FNAC in the diagnosis of cervical lymphadenopathy. *Int J Med Res Rev* 2014;2:599-603. doi:10.17511/ijmrr.2014.i06.015
30. Joshi U, Modi B, Yadav S. A study on prevalence of chewing form of tobacco and existing quitting patterns in urban population of jamnagar, gujarat. doi: 10.4103/0970-0218.62560.
31. Shrivastava JP, Shrivastava A, Singh S. Role of FNAC in the evaluation of cervical lymphnodes: A hospital based study. *J Evolution Med and Dent Sci* 2015; 4:9643-8. DOI: 10.14260/jemds/2015/1391

.....

**How to cite this article?**

Sharma R.I, Dharaiya C.M. Study of fine needle aspiration cytology of lymphadenopathy in tertiary care centre of Ahmedabad, Gujarat. *Trop J Path Micro* 2018;4(3):258-264.doi:10. 17511/jopm.2018.i3.04

.....